## ILRS SLR MISSION SUPPORT REQUEST FORM (June 2011)

# SECTION I: MISSION INFORMATION: **General Information:** Satellite Name: COSMIC-2 Equatorial (6 satellites) University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR) Satellite Host Organization: www.cosmic.ucar.edu Web Address: **Contact Information:** Primary Technical Contact Information: Dr. Jan Weiss Name: 3090 Center Green Dr. Address: Boulder, CO 80301 303-497-2605 Phone No.: 303-497-2610 Fax No.: weissj@ucar.edu E-mail Address: \ Alternate Technical Contact Information: Dr. Bill Schreiner Name: 3090 Center Green Dr. Address: Boulder, CO 80301 303-497-2603 Phone No.: 303-497-2610 Fax No.: schrein@ucar.edu E-mail Address: ` Primary Science Contact Information: Name: Address: Phone No.: Fax No.: E-mail Address:

Alternate Science Contact In	formation:
Name:	
Address:	,
Phone No.:	
Fax No.:	
E-mail Address:	
·	
Mission Specifics:	
Scientific or Engineering Ob	jectives of Mission:
	MIC-2 mission is to provide an operational constellation for the
	ollection of atmospheric and ionospheric data for input to daily
near-real-time weather fo	recasts, space weather research, and climate change studies.
Satellite Laser Ranging (SLI Validation of GNSS orbits	R) Role of Mission:
Request SLR tracking car	mpaign for 6 weeks following launch, while spacecraft are
	This will assist in cal/val of the GNSS instruments.
-	
A C 1 A 1 I amount Date.	Q4 2016
Anticipated Launch Date:	5 years
Expected Mission Duration: Orbital Accuracy Required:	10 cm (3D BMS)
Office Receitably Required:	
Anticipated Orbital Paran	neters:
Altitude:	km, then transfer one at a time to 520km over ~16 months
Inclination: 24 deg	
Eccentricity: 0	
Orbital Period: ~1.6 ho	
	Infrequent
111001011 1111011110.	

Tracking 1	Requireme					
Tracking Schedule: Spatial Coverage: Temporal Coverage:		As frequent as possible.				
		As much as possible.				
		As much as possible.				
<b>Operation</b> Prediction	s Requirer U Center:	ments: CAR				
Prediction Technical Contact Information: Dr. Jan Weiss Name:						
Address:	3090 Cer	enter Green Dr.				
rudross.	Boulder,	CO 80301				
Phone No.	303-497-	2605				
For No.	303-497-					
Fax No.: weissj@ucar.edu E-mail Address:						
Priority of SLR for POD:  Primarily for validation of GNSS orbits  Other Sources of POD (GPS, Doppler, etc.): GNSS (JPL TriG receiver)						
Normal Po	int Time S <sub>J</sub>	oan (sec):				
Tracking N	letwork Re	quired (Full/NASA/EUROLAS/WPLTN/Mission Specific):				

•

-

#### **SECTION II: TRACKING RESTRICTIONS:**

Is there a need for a pass segmentation restriction?

Several types of tracking restrictions have been required during some satellite missions. See http://ilrs.gsfc.nasa.gov/satellite missions/restricted.html for a complete discussion.

- 1) Elevation restrictions: Certain satellites have a risk of possible damage when ranged near the zenith. Therefore a mission may want to set an elevation (in degrees) above which a station may not range to the satellite.
- 2) Go/No-go restrictions: There are situations when on-board detectors on certain satellites are vulnerable to damaged by intense laser irradiation. These situations could include safe hold position or maneuvers. A small ASCII file is kept on a computer controlled by the satellite's mission which includes various information and the literal "go" or "nogo" to indicate whether it is safe to range to the spacecraft. Stations access this file by ftp every 5-15 minutes (as specified by the mission) and do not range when the flag file is set to "nogo" or when the internet connection prevents reading the file.
- 3) Segment restrictions: Certain satellites can allow ranging only during certain parts of the pass as seen from the ground. These missions provide station-dependent files with lists of start and stop times for ranging during each pass.
- 4) Power limits: There are certain missions for which the laser transmit power must always be restricted to prevent detector damage. This requires setting laser power and beam divergence at the ranging station before and after each pass. While the above restrictions are controlled by software, this restriction is often controlled manually.

Many ILRS stations support some or all of these tracking restrictions. See xxx for the current list. You may wish to work through the ILRS with the stations to test their compliance with your restrictions or to encourage additional stations that are critical to your mission to implement them.

The following information gives the ILRS a better idea of the mission's restrictions. Be aware that once predictions are provided to the stations, there is no guarantee that forgotten restrictions can be immediately enforced.

No

For what reason(s)? N/A	
	No
Is there a need for a laser power restriction?	, <u>No</u>
Under what circumstances? N/A	
What power level (mW/cm²)? N/A	
Is manual control of transmit power accepta	able? N/A
following statement: "The mission sponsor agrees not to make subcontractors, or their respective employed	with restrictions, the mission sponsor must agree to the e any claims against the station or station contractors or ees for any damage arising from these ranging activities, ce or otherwise, except in the case of willful misconduct."
Please initial here to express agreement:	<u>W</u>
Other comments on tracking restrictions:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·


#### SECTION III: RETROREFLECTOR ARRAY INFORMATION:

A prerequisite for accurate reduction of laser range observations is a complete set of pre-launch parameters that define the characteristics and location of the LRA on the satellite. The set of parameters should include a general description of the array, including references to any ground-tests that may have been carried out, array manufacturer and whether the array type has been used in previous satellite missions. So the following information is requested:

————	eation of the phase center of the LRA relative to a satellite-based origin: minary values, will provide once confirmed.
<del></del>	
	n order to achieve the above if it is not directly specified (the ideal case) by the satellite er, and as an independent check, the following information must be supplied prior to launch:
1	on and orientation of the LRA reference point (LRA mass-center or marker on LRA elative to a satellite-based origin:
Have preli	minary values, will provide once confirmed.
LRA assem	n (XYZ) of either the vertex or the center of the front face of each corner cube within the ably, with respect to the LRA reference point and including information of amount of front faces of cubes:
The orientat Front entry	tion of each cube within the LRA assembly (three angles for each cube):  y face is parallel to mounting surface.
	nd size of each corner cube, especially the height: 0.1 mm diameter, 10.75 mm height
The materia N-BK7	l from which the cubes are manufactured (e.g. quartz):
The refracti 1.52 @ 53	ve index of the cube material, as a function of wavelength $\lambda$ (micron): 2 nm
	gle offset(s) and manufacturing tolerance: ured. Beam deviation spec 5 arcsec.
Radius of cu Planar fror	arvature of front surfaces of cubes, if applicable:
	cubes' surfaces (as a fraction of wavelength):

Other Comments:					
•					<u>′</u>

An example of the metric information for the array position that should be supplied is given schematically below for the LRA on the GIOVE-A satellite. Given the positions and characteristics of the cubes within the LRA tray, it is possible to compute the location of the array phase center. Then given the C and L vectors it is straightforward to calculate the vector from the satellite's center of mass (CoM) in a spacecraft-fixed frame to the LRA phase center. Further analysis to derive the array far-field diffraction patterns will be possible using the information given above.



A good example of a well-specified LRA is that prepared by GFZ for the CHAMP mission in the paper "The Retro-Reflector for the CHAMP Satellite: Final Design and Realization", which is available on the ILRS Web site at http://ilrs.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/rra\_champ.pdf.

The final and possibly most complex piece of information is a description (for an active satellite) of the satellite's attitude regime as a function of time, which must be supplied in some form by the operating agency. This algorithm will relate the spacecraft reference frame to, for example, an inertial frame such as J2000.

#### RETROREFLECTOR ARRAY REFERENCES

Two reports, both by David Arnold, are of particular interest in the design and analysis of laser retroreflector arrays.

- Method of Calculating Retroreflector-array Transfer Functions, David A. Arnold, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Special Report 382, 1979.
- Retroreflector Array Transfer Functions, David A. Arnold, ILRS Signal Processing Working Group, 2002. Paper available at http://ilrs.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/retro\_transfer\_functions.pdf.

### **SECTION IV: MISSION CONCURRENCE**

As an authoriz	COSMIC-2 zed representative of the	mission, I
hereby request	and authorize the ILRS to track the satellite of	lescribed in this document.
	Jan Weiss	Date 10-1-15
Signature:	7.05	
Proje Position:	ect Scientist	
Send form to:	ILRS Central Bureau c/o Carey Noll NASA GSFC Code 690 Greenbelt, MD 20771 USA 301-614-6542 (Voice) 301-614-6015 (Fax) Carey.Noll@nasa.gov	